Nucleation kinetics in closed systems

COST Action CM1402: From molecules to crystals – how do organic molecules form crystals?

Zdeněk Kožíšek

Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic

kozisek@fzu.cz http://www.fzu.cz/~kozisek/

2-6 November 2015, Lyon, France

Prague Charles Bridge, Prague Castle



Institute of Physics CAS, Prague



Phase transition theory group (Dept. of Optical Materials): Zdeněk Kožíšek, Pavel Demo, Alexei Sveshnikov, Jan Kulveit (PhD Student)

Contents

Introduction

2

Standard nucleation model

- Kinetic equations
- Work of formation of clusters

3 Selected Applications

- Homogeneous nucleation
- Heterogeneous nucleation on active centers



Introduction

Nucleation

- process leading to the formation of a new phase (solid, liquid) within metastable original phase (undercooled melt, supersaturated vapor or solution)
- first step in crystallization process; plays a decisive role in determining the crystal structure and the size distribution of nuclei



- homogeneous nucleation (HON) (at random sites in the bulk of a parent phase)
- heterogeneous nucleation (HEN) (on foreign substrate, impurities, defects, active centres)

nucleus: ? the smallest observable "particle" ? (often $\approx 1 \mu m$)

Clusters of a new phase are formed on nucleation sites due to fluctuations and after overcoming some critical size (< 1nm) become nuclei (overcritical clusters).

Introduction

Critical supersaturation

Experimental data: H.R. Pruppacher: *A new look at homogeneous ice nucleation in supercooled water drops*, J. Atmospheric Sciences 52(11) (1995) 1924.



Fig. 2. Lowest temperatures to which extrapure water drops of given size and exposed to cooling rates between 1°C min⁻¹ and 1°C =¹ have been supercooled during laboratory experiments. If (Hieffer 1961), M (Mossop 1952), D (DeMott and Rogens 1990), B (Bigg 1953), P (Pruppacher and Neiburger 1963), L (Langham and Mason 1958), Ha (Hagen et al. 1981), Ru (Rouleau 1958), K (Rhuhs and Mason 1968), Y (Bayardelle 1954), R (Rasmussen 1952), A (Anderson et al. 1980), Bs (Butorian ad Skripov 1972), and Be (Broto and Clausse 1976), Lines 1 and 2: Temperature at which 99.99% of a population of uniform-sized water drops freezes when exposed to cooling rates of 1°C min⁻¹ and 1°C s⁻¹, respectively (Form Eq. (7) with J values consistent with curve 2 in Fig. 3).

Supersaturation (supercooling) increases with volume decrease.

\Rightarrow nucleation kinetics in confined volumes

Z. Kozisek (Prague, Czech Republic)

Nucleation kinetics



Z. Kozisek (Prague, Czech Republic)

Kinetic equations

 k_i^+ (k_i^-) – attachment (detachment) frequencies of molecules

$$\frac{dF_i}{dt} = k_{i-1}^+ F_{i-1} - [k_i^+ + k_i^-]F_i + k_{i+1}^- F_{i+1} = J_{i-1}(t) - J_i(t)$$
(1)

$$J_i(t) = k_i^+ F_i(t) - k_{i+1}^- F_{i+1}(t)$$
(2)

 F_i – number density of clusters of size *i*

 J_i - cluster flux density (nucleation rate for i^*)

 $k_i^+(k_i^-)$ – attachment (detachment) frequencies

Total number of nuclei greater than $m : Z_m(t) = \sum_{i>m} F_i(t) = \int_0^t J_m(t') dt'$

Local equilibrium:

$$J_{i} = 0 \Rightarrow k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{0} = k_{i+1}^{-} F_{i+1}^{0} \Rightarrow \left(k_{i+1}^{-} = k_{i}^{+} \frac{F_{i}^{0}}{F_{i+1}^{0}} = k_{i}^{+} \exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{W}_{i+1} - \mathbf{W}_{i}}{k_{B}T}\right) \right)$$
(3)

Kinetic equations

Equilibrium

$$J_{i} = 0 \implies k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{0} = k_{i+1}^{-} F_{i+1}^{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow F_{i}^{0} = F_{1}^{0} \frac{k_{1}^{+} k_{2}^{+} k_{3}^{+} \dots k_{i-1}^{+}}{k_{2}^{-} k_{3}^{-} k_{4}^{-} \dots k_{i}^{-}}$$
(4)

 F_i^0 – equilibrium number of cluster formed by *i* molecules It can be shown that

$$F_i^0 = B_2 \exp\left(-\frac{W_i}{kT}\right)$$

Homogeneous nucleation, self-consistent model: $B_2 = N_1 \exp\left(\frac{W_1}{kT}\right)$ N_1 – number of molecules within parent phase **Knowing** F_i^0 and $k_i^+ \Rightarrow k_i^-$ from Eq. (4).

Classical nucleation theory (CNT)

Initial and boundary conditions

 N_1 - number of molecules (number of nucleation sites) $F_1(t=0) = N_1$, $F_{i>1}(t=0) = 0$ $F_1(t) = N_1$

 $t \gg$ induction time \Rightarrow Stationary nucleation (steady-state): $J_i(t) = J^S = const.$

1

$$J^{S} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_i^+ F_i^0}\right)^-$$

exact analytical formula

Z. Kozisek (Prague, Czech Republic)

CN⁻

Stationary nucleation (steady-state)

 $J_i = J^S = const.$ for any cluster size $i (N_1 = const.!) \Rightarrow$ Boundary conditions:

$$F^S_i o F^S_i$$
 for $i o 1;$ $F^S_i o 0$ for $i o \infty$

 $J^{S} = k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{S} - k_{i+1}^{-} F_{i+1}^{S}$

1

Stationary nucleation (steady-state)

 $J_i = J^S = const.$ for any cluster size $i (N_1 = const.!) \Rightarrow$ Boundary conditions:

$$F_{i}^{S} \to F_{i}^{0} \text{ for } i \to 1; \qquad F_{i}^{S} \to 0 \text{ for } i \to \infty$$
$$J^{S} = k_{i}^{+}F_{i}^{S} - k_{i+1}^{-}F_{i+1}^{S} = k_{i}^{+}F_{i}^{0}\frac{F_{i}^{S}}{F_{i}^{0}} - \underbrace{k_{i+1}^{-}F_{i+1}^{0}}_{k_{i}^{+}}\frac{F_{i+1}^{S}}{F_{i+1}^{0}} = k_{i}^{+}F_{i}^{0}\left(\frac{F_{i}^{S}}{F_{i}^{0}} - \frac{F_{i+1}^{S}}{F_{i+1}^{0}}\right)$$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{J^S}{k_i^+ F_i^0}$

Stationary nucleation (steady-state)

 $J_i = J^S = const.$ for any cluster size $i (N_1 = const.!) \Rightarrow$ Boundary conditions:

$$F_{i}^{S} \to F_{i}^{0} \text{ for } i \to 1; \qquad F_{i}^{S} \to 0 \text{ for } i \to \infty$$
$$J^{S} = k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{S} - k_{i+1}^{-} F_{i+1}^{S} = k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{0} \frac{F_{i}^{S}}{F_{i}^{0}} - \underbrace{k_{i+1}^{-} F_{i+1}^{0}}_{k_{i}^{+} + F_{i}^{0}} \frac{F_{i+1}^{S}}{F_{i+1}^{0}} = k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{0} \left(\frac{F_{i}^{S}}{F_{i}^{0}} - \frac{F_{i+1}^{S}}{F_{i+1}^{0}} \right)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{J^S}{k_i^+ F_i^0} = \left(\frac{F_1^S}{F_1^0} - \frac{F_2^S}{F_2^0}\right) + \left(\frac{F_2^S}{F_2^0} - \frac{F_3^S}{F_3^0}\right) + \left(\frac{F_3^S}{F_3^0} - \frac{F_4^S}{F_4^0}\right) + \dots$$

Stationary nucleation (steady-state)

 $J_i = J^S = const.$ for any cluster size $i (N_1 = const.!) \Rightarrow$ Boundary conditions:

$$F_{i}^{S} \to F_{i}^{0} \quad \text{for} \quad i \to 1; \qquad F_{i}^{S} \to 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \to \infty$$
$$J^{S} = k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{S} - k_{i+1}^{-} F_{i+1}^{S} = k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{0} \frac{F_{i}^{S}}{F_{i}^{0}} - \underbrace{k_{i+1}^{-} F_{i+1}^{0}}_{k_{i}^{+} + F_{i}^{0}} \frac{F_{i+1}^{S}}{F_{i+1}^{0}} = k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{0} \left(\frac{F_{i}^{S}}{F_{i}^{0}} - \frac{F_{i+1}^{S}}{F_{i+1}^{0}} \right)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{J^{S}}{k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{0}} = \left(\frac{F_{1}^{S}}{F_{1}^{0}} - \frac{F_{2}^{S}}{F_{2}^{0}}\right) + \left(\frac{F_{2}^{S}}{F_{2}^{0}} - \frac{F_{3}^{S}}{F_{3}^{0}}\right) + \left(\frac{F_{3}^{S}}{F_{3}^{0}} - \frac{F_{4}^{S}}{F_{4}^{0}}\right) + \dots = 1 + \frac{F_{M}^{S}}{F_{M}^{0}} \to 1$$
for $M \to \infty$

Stationary nucleation (steady-state)

 $J_i = J^S = const.$ for any cluster size $i (N_1 = const.!) \Rightarrow$ Boundary conditions:

$$F_{i}^{S} \to F_{i}^{0} \text{ for } i \to 1; \qquad F_{i}^{S} \to 0 \text{ for } i \to \infty$$
$$J^{S} = k_{i}^{+}F_{i}^{S} - k_{i+1}^{-}F_{i+1}^{S} = k_{i}^{+}F_{i}^{0}\frac{F_{i}^{S}}{F_{i}^{0}} - \underbrace{k_{i+1}^{-}F_{i+1}^{0}}_{k_{i}^{+}F_{i}^{0}}F_{i+1}^{0} = k_{i}^{+}F_{i}^{0}\left(\frac{F_{i}^{S}}{F_{i}^{0}} - \frac{F_{i+1}^{S}}{F_{i+1}^{0}}\right)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{J^{S}}{k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{0}} = \left(\frac{F_{1}^{S}}{F_{1}^{0}} - \frac{F_{2}^{S}}{F_{2}^{0}}\right) + \left(\frac{F_{2}^{S}}{F_{2}^{0}} - \frac{F_{3}^{S}}{F_{3}^{0}}\right) + \left(\frac{F_{3}^{S}}{F_{3}^{0}} - \frac{F_{4}^{S}}{F_{4}^{0}}\right) + \dots = 1 + \frac{F_{M}^{S}}{F_{M}^{0}} \to 1$$
for $M \to \infty$

1

$$J^{S} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_{i}^{+} F_{i}^{0}}\right)^{-}$$

exact analytical formula

 k_i^+ - attachment frequency

 k_i^- follows from the principle of local equilibirum (3)

Crystal nucleation $E \xrightarrow{E_d} \Delta \mu$ Crystal Liquid

Crystal phase corresponds a stable phase, liquid a metastable phase, and in between is the diffusion activation energy.

From: Yukio Saito, Statistical Physics of Crystal Growth, Word Scientific (1996).

$$\mathbf{k}_{i}^{+} = R_{D}A_{i}\exp\left(-\frac{E_{D}}{kT}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{q(W_{i+1}-W_{i})}{kT}\right); \quad q = 0.5[1 + sign(W_{i+1}-W_{i})]$$

 $A_i = \gamma i^{2/3} = 4\pi r^2$ – surface area R_D – mean number of molecules striking on unit nucleus surface

k_i^+ - attachment frequency

Vapor \rightarrow crystal

 $R_D = \frac{P}{\sqrt{2\pi m_* kT}}$ (deposition rate); P – vapor pressure; m_1 – molecular mass

Melt \rightarrow crystal (unary parent phase)

D. Turnbull, J. Fisher, Rate of nucleation in condensed systems, J. Chem. Phys. 17 (1949) 145.

$$R_D = N_S\left(rac{kT}{h}
ight); \qquad N_S = arrho_S A_i$$

 N_S – number of nucleation sites on the nucelus surface; ρ_S – surface density of molecules

Solution \rightarrow crystal HON: (A) Direct-impingement control / (B) Volume-diffusion control

 $R_D = CN_S\left(\frac{kT}{h}\right);$ C - concentration; (A) nucleation kinetics is restrictive

 R_D – incoming diffusion flux of monomers; (B) HON is controlled by volume diffusion

Work of formation of clusters

Formation of phase interface is energetically disadvantageous **Homogeneous nucleation:**

Capillarity approximation

$$W_i = -i\Delta\mu + \underbrace{\gamma i^{2/3}\sigma}_{W^S} = -\frac{\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3}{v_1}\Delta\mu + 4\pi r^2\sigma$$

i – cluster size (number of molecules within cluster) *r* – cluster radius; σ – interfacial energy; v_1 – molecular volume $\Delta \mu$ – difference of chemical potentials

 A_k – surface areas; σ_k – corresponding interfacial energies

$$V_n \varrho = im_1 \Rightarrow r(i)$$

 V_n - nucleus volume; ϱ – density of crystal phase; m_1 – molecular mass

Work of formation of clusters

Homogeneous nucleation



 Δh_E – heat of fusion; N_A – Avogadro constant; T_E – equilibrium temperature; T – temperature k_B – Boltzmann constant; S – supersaturation;

Work of formation of clusters

Nucleation in polymer systems: thermodynamic aspects



Z. Kozisek (Prague, Czech Republic)

Nucleation kinetics

Classical nucleation theory

Classical nucleation theory (CNT): fails to explain exp. data ($W_i = ??$)

Argon Lennard-Jones nucleation – MC simulations



 $\delta \Delta W_n = W_n - W_{n-1}$

⇒ Down to very small cluster sizes, classical nucleation theory built on the liquid drop model can be used very **accurately** to describe the work required to add a monomer to the cluster!

However, erroneous absolute value for the cluster work of formation, W_i .

B. Hale, G. Wilemski, 18th ICNAA conference (2009) 593. J. Merikanto et al., PRL 98 (2007) 145702.

Z. Kozisek (Prague, Czech Republic)

Nucleation kinetics

Confined systems



Confined systems

$egin{aligned} F_i(t=0) &= F_i^0 & ext{ pro } i \leq i_0 & ext{ (usually } i_0 pprox i^*/2) \ F_i^0 &- ext{ equilibrium distribution of nuclei} \ F_i(t=0) &= 0 & ext{ pro } i > i_0 \end{aligned}$	
$F_1(t) = F_1^0 = N_n = const.$ CNT model (HON	I+HEN)
N_n – number of nucleation sites ($N_n = N_1$ for HON) $N_n \gg \sum$	$\sum_{i>1} iF_i$
$F_1(t) = N_1(t=0) - \sum_{i>1} iF_i(t)$ confined system	(HON)
$F_1(t) = N_n(t=0) - \sum_{i>1} N_i^s(t)$ confined system free substrate su	rface↓
number of nucleation sites occupied by nuclei	
$N_1(t) = N_T - \sum_{i>1} iF_i(t)$ confined system volume of parent p	(HEN) bhase↓
N_1 - number of molecules within parent phase N_T - total number of molecules within system (liquid + solid phase)	

Homogeneous nucleation

HON: ethanol, $V \rightarrow L$ transition (T = 260 K) [Z. Kožíšek et al., J. Chem. Phys. 125 (2006) 114504]



Homogeneous nucleation

Size distribution of nuclei

 $\upsilon = 1$ 20 11 21 Log₁₀ F (m⁻³) 15 31 41 10 F^0 5 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 500 0

S=3, i^{*} = 75

Homogeneous nucleation

Distribution function - time dependence



Homogeneous nucleation

Distribution function - size dependence ($S_{ini} = 5$)



Homogeneous nucleation

Nucleation rate - time dependence



Homogeneous nucleation

Nucleation rate (
$$S_{ini} = 5, J_0^S = J^S$$
 at S_{ini})

Open system ($S_{ini} = const.$)







Homogeneous nucleation

Nucleation rate ($S_{ini} = 5$)



Homogeneous nucleation

Supersaturation



Homogeneous nucleation

HON: Liquid/Solution \rightarrow Solid transition

Z. Kožíšek, CrystEngComm 15 (2013) 2269

Size distribution of nuclei



Homogeneous nucleation

Model system: nucleation of Ni melt

DSC experiments and MC simulations [J. Bokeloh et al., PRL 107 (2011) 145701 J. Bokeloh et al., Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics 223 (2014) 511]

J was obtained from a statistical evaluation of crystallization behavior during continuous cooling. A single Ni sample was repeatedly heated up to 1773 K an subsequently cooled down to 1373 K.



FIG. 1 (color online). Undercooling ΔT , as measured from 400 cycles of a 0.23 mg sample. The inset shows the survivorship function $F_{sur}(\Delta T)$ (right y axis) and nucleation rate J (left y axis).

sample masses: 23 μg – 63 mg survivorship function: $F_{sur}(\Delta T) = 1 - \exp(\int J(\Delta T) dt)$ $\Delta T \Rightarrow J = \Gamma \exp(-\frac{\Delta W^*}{k_0 T})$

- MC simulations show a deviation of the energy of formation ΔW_i from CNT.
- However, the actual height of the energy barrier is in good agreement with CNT.

All system parameters are known \Rightarrow we can determine the size distribution of nuclei F_i

Homogeneous nucleation

Ni melt: $\Delta h_E = 17.29 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, $T_E = 1748 \text{ K}$, $\varrho_S = 8357 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, $\sigma = \sigma_m T/T_E$, where $\sigma_m = 0.275 \text{ J m}^{-2}$, $E = 29.085 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$



In difference of experimental data, no critical nuclei are formed in Ni melt.

Solution: reduce the interfacial energy or take into account $\sigma(i)$ dependency \Rightarrow **lower nucleation barrier**

Maybe heterogeneous nucleation occurs ?

Nucleation on active centers

CNT model of nucleation on active centers - one additional equation is needed

[S. Toshev, I. Gutzow, Krist. Tech. 7 (1972) 43; P. Ascarelli, S. Fontana: Diamond Rel. Mater. 2 (1993) 990-996; D. Kashchiev: Nucleation, Butterworth-Heinemann Boston, 2000]



Additional equation:

$$\frac{dZ(t)}{dt} = [N_0 - Z(t)]J^S(t)$$

- Z(t) the total number of nuclei at time t N_0 the number of active sites;
- *J^S* time dependent nucleation frequency (usually taken as fit<F8> parameter)

Our new model does not need additional equation!! \rightarrow only modification of boundary conditions

Z. Kozisek et al., Transient nucleation on inhomogeneous foreign substrate, J. Chem. Phys. 108 (1998) 9835; Nucleation on

active sites: evolution of size distribution, J. Cryst. Growth 209 (2000) 198-202.

Z. Kozisek (Prague, Czech Republic)

Nucleation kinetics

Nucleation on active centers HEN: Vapor→Solid transition



Nucleation on active centers HEN: Vapor→Solid transition H. Kumomi, F.G. Shi: Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2717.



Nucleation on active centers

HEN: Vapor \rightarrow Solid transition





FIG. 2. Dynamic evolution of the CSD in the controlled solidphase crystallization of *a*-Si thin films with artificial nucleation sites. Three CSDs marked with $\mathbb{A}_{\bullet} \blacksquare_{\bullet}$ and Φ are observed in the samples shown by (a), (b), and (c) of Fig. 1, respectively. The solid lines are the theoretical predictions with the depletion of the nucleation sites taken into account.

Nucleation on active centers

HEN: polymer nucleation from melt (polyethylene) $\Delta T = 10.4 K$



Z. Kožíšek et al. Nucleation kinetics of folded chain crystals of polyethylene on active centers, J. Chem. Phys. 121 (2004) 1587.

Z. Kožíšek et al. Nucleation on active centers in confined volumes, J. Chem. Phys. 134 (2011) 114904

Nucleation on active centers

HEN: polymer nucleation from melt (polyethylene)

2007: K. Okada et al.: Polymer 48 (2007) 1116-1126.



 σ_{nano} (instead of σ) introduced to fit $F_i^S \Rightarrow k^* = 9$, $l^* = 24.9$, $m^* = 4.5 \Rightarrow 3D$ model

Polymer nucleation on active centers (polyethylene)



Z. Kožíšek, M. Hikosaka, K. Okada, P. Demo: J. Chem. Phys. 134 (2011) 114904 & 136 (2012) 164506

Summary

Advantages

- Relatively simple model enables to determine basic characteristics of nucleation in real time.
- Model takes into account depletion of the parent phase during phase transformation.
- Model includes exhaustion of active centres (new approach to heterogeneous nucleation).



This work was supported by the Project No. LD15004 (VES15 COST CZ) of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic.

Thank you for your attention.

Summary

Advantages

- Relatively simple model enables to determine basic characteristics of nucleation in real time.
- Model takes into account depletion of the parent phase during phase transformation.
- Model includes exhaustion of active centres (new approach to heterogeneous nucleation).



This work was supported by the Project No. LD15004 (VES15 COST CZ) of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic.

Thank you for your attention.

Summary

Advantages

- Relatively simple model enables to determine basic characteristics of nucleation in real time.
- Model takes into account depletion of the parent phase during phase transformation.
- Model includes exhaustion of active centres (new approach to heterogeneous nucleation).



This work was supported by the Project No. LD15004 (VES15 COST CZ) of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic.

Thank you for your attention.